

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA                     )  
  )  
                  v.                                     ) CRIM. CASE NO. 1:19-cr-350-ECM  
  )  
RASHEED DEMOND JOHNSON                    )

**MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER**

On March 17, 2020, this Court entered a General Order in response to the outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) within the Middle District of Alabama, and the rapidly evolving threat to health and safety posed by the outbreak, that continued all jury trials, including this criminal trial, for 30 days pending further Order of the Court. IN RE: COVID-19, 2:20-mc-3910-ECM, Doc. 2 (March 17, 2020). The Court also issued an Order on March 18, 2020 restricting access to Courthouses in the Middle District of Alabama. (*Id.* at Doc. 3). Jury selection and trial was previously set on the term of court commencing on April 13, 2020.

The Speedy Trial Act excludes from certain of its computations any delay resulting from a continuance granted by the Court based on its “findings that the ends of justice served by” granting the continuance “outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.” 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). To be effective at rendering the time excludable, the Court must “set[] forth, in the record of the case” the reasons for its “ends of justice” determination. *Id.* Appellate courts have enforced “with procedural strictness” the rule regarding such findings. *United States v. Ammar*, 842 F.3d 1203, 1206 (11th Cir. 2016) (applying *Zedner v. United States*, 547 U.S. 489 (2006)).

This Court made an ends-of-justice finding in its General Order, and set forth the reasons for that finding. IN RE: COVID-19 at 1-2. Moreover, the Court made clear that, due to the unprecedented nature of the Public Health Emergency caused by the COVID-19 virus, its ends-of-justice finding and the supporting reasons applied equally to “any” defendant currently pending adjudication of guilt in this District, including Defendant Johnson. *Id.*

In light of the Speedy Trial Act’s admonition that such findings and reasons be “set[] forth, the record of the case,” 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the Court adopts the General Order, its findings, and its reasons into the record of this case. The Court also notes that other reasons individually attributable to this case may further support the ends-of-justice finding. The Court reserves the right to set forth any such findings in the future. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1). In light of the current COVID-19 pandemic, and for good cause, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Thus, for good cause, it is

ORDERED that jury selection and trial are CONTINUED from April 13, 2020 to the criminal term of court commencing on June 1, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. in Dothan, Alabama. All deadlines tied to the trial date are adjusted accordingly. The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the June trial term.

Done this 23rd day of March, 2020.

/s/Emily C. Marks  
EMILY C. MARKS  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE